

Sections to be covered: 2.2, 2.3, 2.4.

- (1) **Theorem 2.4** says that the property of a linear transformation being one-to-one is equivalent to its null space  $N(T)$  being the trivial space  $\{0\}$ .
- (2) In the situation that  $T$  is a linear transformation from a vector space to another of equal, finite dimension, then  $N(T) = \{0\}$  is equivalent to  $T$  being onto.
- (3) **Theorem 2.6** gives a way of defining a linear transformation, namely, through defining its action on a basis.
- (4) Discuss the notion of *ordered basis* and the *coordinate vector* of a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  relative to an ordered basis  $\beta = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ .
- (5) Suppose that  $T : V \mapsto W$  is a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $W$ , and  $\beta = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n\}$  and  $\gamma = \{\mathbf{w}_1, \dots, \mathbf{w}_m\}$  are two ordered bases for  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively, then we can define a matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  by

$$T(\mathbf{v}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} \mathbf{w}_i,$$

and find that, for any vector  $\mathbf{x} \in V$ ,

$$[T(\mathbf{x})]_\gamma = A[\mathbf{x}]_\beta,$$

namely, the coordinate vector of  $T(\mathbf{x})$  relative to  $\gamma$  is computed as  $A$  times the coordinate vector of  $\mathbf{x}$  relative to  $\beta$ .

- (6) If  $S, T : V \mapsto W$  are two linear transformations from  $V$  to  $W$ , then  $S + T$  and  $aT$  are naturally defined, so the set  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  of all linear transformations from  $V$  to  $W$  becomes a vector space under these operations. This is **Theorem 2.7**.
- (7) **Theorem 2.8** explains that relative to two ordered bases  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  in  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively, the matrix representations of  $S + T$ , and  $aT$  are related to those of  $S$  and  $T$  as
  - (a)  $[S + T]_\beta^\gamma = [S]_\beta^\gamma + [T]_\beta^\gamma$  and
  - (b)  $[aT]_\beta^\gamma = a[T]_\beta^\gamma$ .
- (8) If  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are two ordered bases of the same vector space  $V$ , and  $\mathbf{x}$  is a vector in  $V$ , how does the coordinate vector  $[\mathbf{x}]_\beta$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  relative to  $\beta$  relate to the coordinate vector  $[\mathbf{x}]_\gamma$  of  $\mathbf{x}$  relative to  $\gamma$ ? Suppose  $T : V \mapsto V$  is a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $V$ , how does the matrix representation  $[T]_\beta$  of  $T$  relative to  $\beta$  relate to the matrix representation  $[T]_\gamma$  of  $T$  relative to  $\gamma$ ? These questions will be explored in **2.5**. But here is an example. Let  $T : \mathbb{R}^3 \mapsto \mathbb{R}^3$  denote the orthogonal projection of vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  onto the plane  $\pi$  through the origin with  $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3)$  as a unit normal vector. Then for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ ,  $T(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x} - (\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}$ . So relative to the standard basis  $\{\mathbf{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0), \mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0), \text{ and } \mathbf{e}_3 = (0, 0, 1)\}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} T(\mathbf{e}_1) &= (1, 0, 0) - n_1(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (1 - n_1^2, -n_1n_2, -n_1n_3) \\ T(\mathbf{e}_2) &= (0, 1, 0) - n_2(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (-n_1n_2, 1 - n_2^2, -n_2n_3) \\ T(\mathbf{e}_3) &= (0, 0, 1) - n_3(n_1, n_2, n_3) = (-n_1n_3, -n_2n_3, 1 - n_3^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the matrix representation of  $T$  relative to the standard basis is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 - n_2^2 & -n_1n_2 & -n_1n_3 \\ -n_1n_2 & 1 - n_2^2 & -n_2n_3 \\ -n_1n_3 & -n_2n_3 & 1 - n_3^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

However, if we choose a pair of orthonormal vectors  $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2\}$  in the plane  $\pi$ , and use  $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \mathbf{n}\}$  as an order basis for  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} T(\epsilon_1) &= \epsilon_1 - (\epsilon_1 \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} = \epsilon_1 \\ T(\epsilon_2) &= \epsilon_2 - (\epsilon_2 \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n} = \epsilon_2 \\ T(\mathbf{n}) &= (\mathbf{n} - (\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{n})\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{0}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the matrix representation of  $T$  in this basis is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (9) In section **2.3** we define the composition of two linear transformations  $T$  and  $U$ , when  $T : V \mapsto W$  and  $U : W \mapsto Z$ . The main theorems are **Theorems 2.11, 2.14**. A part of section **2.3** reviews the multiplication of two matrices and the properties of matrix multiplications. For example, **Theorems 2.12, 2.13, 2.16** are mainly reviewing properties of matrix multiplications.
- (10) A more concrete way of reading the conclusion of **Theorem 2.14** is as follows. Let  $\beta = \{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  be an ordered basis for  $V$ ,  $\gamma = \{\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_m\}$  be an ordered basis for  $W$ , and  $T : V \mapsto W$  be a linear transformation. Then the matrix  $[T]_\beta^\gamma = [t_{ij}]$  is defined through

$$T(\mathbf{u}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^m t_{ij} \mathbf{v}_i, \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n,$$

or written in matrix notation

$$[T(\mathbf{u}_1) \cdots T(\mathbf{u}_n)] = [\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots \mathbf{v}_m][t_{ij}].$$

For any vector  $\mathbf{u} \in V$ , we can write  $\mathbf{u}$  in the basis  $\beta$

$$\mathbf{u} = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \mathbf{u}_j = [\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_n] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = [\mathbf{u}_1 \cdots \mathbf{u}_n][\mathbf{u}]_\beta.$$

Also there must exist  $y_1, \dots, y_m$  such that

$$T(\mathbf{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \mathbf{v}_i = [\mathbf{v}_1 \cdots \mathbf{v}_m] \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_m \end{bmatrix} = [t_{ij}] \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = [T]_\beta^\gamma \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (11) **Section 2.4** deals with invertible linear transformations and reviews invertible matrices and link these to invertible linear transformations. Some basic facts are
- A linear transformation  $T : V \mapsto W$  is invertible iff  $N(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $R(T) = W$ .
  - If  $\dim V = \dim W$  are finite, then  $T : V \mapsto W$  is invertible iff  $N(T) = \{\mathbf{0}\}$ , or  $R(T) = W$ , or simply  $\dim R(T) = \dim W$ .
  - (Lemma on p.101) If  $T : V \mapsto W$  is an invertible linear transformation from finite dimensional vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ , then  $\dim V = \dim W$ .
  - (Theorem 2.18) Let  $T : V \mapsto W$  be a linear transformation,  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  be the matrix representation of  $T$  in some ordered bases  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  of  $V$  and  $W$ , respectively, then  $T$  is invertible iff  $[T]_{\beta}^{\gamma}$  is an invertible matrix. Furthermore, when  $T$  is invertible,  $[T^{-1}]_{\gamma}^{\beta} = ([T]_{\beta}^{\gamma})^{-1}$ .
- (12) The remainder of **Section 2.4** discusses the concept of *isomorphism* between two vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$ . The focus here is the isomorphic relation between the two vector spaces, not the specific invertible linear transformation that makes them isomorphic. In particular we have
- (Theorem 2.19) Two finite dimensional vector spaces  $V$  and  $W$  are isomorphic to each other iff  $\dim(V) = \dim(W)$ .
  - (Corollary) A vector space  $V$  over a field  $F$  is isomorphic to  $F^n$  iff  $\dim(V) = n$ .
  - (Theorem 2.20) Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite dimensional vector spaces of dimension  $n$  and  $m$  respectively, then the vector space  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  of linear transformations from  $V$  to  $W$  is isomorphic to  $M_{m \times n}(F)$ .
  - (Corollary) Let  $V$  and  $W$  be finite dimensional vector spaces of dimension  $n$  and  $m$  respectively, then the vector space  $\mathcal{L}(V, W)$  has dimension  $mn$ .
- (13) Here is a remark on how the dimension of a vector space depends on the specific field that is used. Consider  $V = \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) : c_i \in \mathbb{C}\}$ . Then one can consider  $V$  as a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{R}$  of real numbers, denoted as  $(V, \mathbb{R})$ , or as a vector space over the field  $\mathbb{C}$  of complex numbers, denoted as  $(V, \mathbb{C})$ . Then the set  $\beta$  of standard vectors  $\{e_1 = (1, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, \dots, 1)\}$  in  $V$  can be proved to be linearly independent in both  $(V, \mathbb{R})$  and  $(V, \mathbb{C})$ . However,  $\beta$  generates  $V$  over  $\mathbb{C}$ , thus forms a basis for  $(V, \mathbb{C})$ , but does not generate  $(V, \mathbb{R})$ . This can be seen as follows. Any vector  $(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in V$  can be written as

$$(c_1, \dots, c_n) = c_1 e_1 + \dots + c_n e_n, \quad \text{where } c_i \in \mathbb{C},$$

yet, the vector  $(i, 0, \dots, 0) \in V$  cannot be expressed as a linear combination of  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  with real coefficients. In fact, the set

$$\gamma = \{e_1 = (1, \dots, 0), \dots, e_n = (0, \dots, 1), \eta_1 = (i, \dots, 0), \dots, \eta_m = (0, \dots, i)\}$$

forms a basis for  $(V, \mathbb{R})$ . Thus  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V) = 2n$ , while  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = n$ .