

## The Bernstein-Schröder Theorem

**Definitions.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be arbitrary nonempty sets. We say that  $A$  has fewer elements than  $B$ , and write  $A \preceq B$ , if there exists a one-to-one function (injection)  $f : A \rightarrow B$  from  $A$  to  $B$ . We say that  $A$  is **equinumerous** to  $B$ , and write  $A \sim B$ , if there exists a bijection  $f : A \rightarrow B$  from  $A$  to  $B$ .

Since the composition of two injections is an injection and the composition of two bijections is a bijection, both relations  $\preceq$  and  $\sim$  are transitive; it is also obvious that both are reflexive.

Since the inverse  $f^{-1} : B \rightarrow A$  of a bijection  $f : A \rightarrow B$  always exists and is a bijection itself, the relation of being equinumerous is also symmetric; hence it's an equivalence relation. Thus,  $\sim$  splits the universe of all sets (a very problematic notion, but we choose not to make this more precise now!) into equivalence classes, called **cardinalities**. So formally speaking: the cardinality of  $A$  is defined to be the equivalence class containing the set  $A$ .

What about the relation  $\preceq$ ? Common sense suggests that it is an order relation.

The following theorem of Felix Bernstein and Ernst Schröder only states that  $\preceq$  is a partial order (reflexive, antisymmetric, and transitive). More precisely, it says that the relation  $\preceq$  is antisymmetric on cardinalities: if  $A$  and  $B$  are two sets with  $A \preceq B$  and  $B \preceq A$ , then  $A$  and  $B$  are equinumerous. That it is actually an order relation (not only a partial order: there are no incomparable sets) cannot be proved without the Axiom of Choice.

**Theorem.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be two sets. If there exist two injections  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow A$ , then there is a bijection  $h : A \rightarrow B$ . (If  $A \preceq B$  and  $B \preceq A$ , then  $A \sim B$ .)*

*In fact, there are two partitions  $A = A_1 \uplus A_2$  and  $B = B_1 \uplus B_2$  such that  $f$  is a bijection between  $A_1$  and  $B_1$  and  $g$  is a bijection between  $B_2$  and  $A_2$ , and hence the function*

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in A_1 \\ g^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in A_2 \end{cases}$$

*is a bijection between  $A$  and  $B$ .*

For comments on the proof, see the other side of this page.

The proof of the B-S theorem can be found in the LBB (page 58), but it can best be understood by solving Exercise 10:28 (page 59):

Let  $A$  be a square and  $B$  a disc. Prove that there are disjoint decompositions  $A = A_1 \uplus A_2$  and  $B = B_1 \uplus B_2$  such that  $A_1$  is similar to  $B_1$  and  $A_2$  is similar to  $B_2$ .

While this exercise has nothing to do with cardinalities, an application of the detailed form of the Bernstein-Schröder theorem would give a simple formal solution. It is illuminating however – and it could serve as a way to discover the proof for the B-S theorem – to solve this exercise *by hand*; to start with two dilations:  $f$  mapping the square *inside* the disk and  $g$  mapping the disk *inside* the square, and then to find a function  $h$  as above that only uses the original dilation  $f$  for some points of the square and the dilation  $g^{-1}$  for the rest.

Starting with points near the corners of  $A$ , one cannot use  $g^{-1}$  since these points are outside the range of  $g$ ; we must use  $f$  there. Similarly, some point near the boundary of  $B$  must use  $g$  for a bijection. Peeling  $A$  and  $B$  region by region like two onions, we discover the desired regions  $A_1, A_2, B_1, B_2$ .

The resulting picture (courtesy of Chris Ross, U-alumnus in Spring '02 and Spring '03) can be seen by clicking on the name of Schröder in [math.rutgers.edu/~useminar/math.htm](http://math.rutgers.edu/~useminar/math.htm). The white regions there form  $A_1$  and  $B_1$ , clearly similar, and the black regions  $A_2$  and  $B_2$  are also similar.