

Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating SPRING 2009
Standard SIRS form (Scannable Paper Form)

50 750 234 01 49286
 ENROLL= 6

VIRBHADRA
 RESP= 6 (100%)

KUMAR
**STRONGLY
 DISAGREE**

NEUTRAL

**STRONGLY
 AGREE**

**# OF
 NO
 RESPONSES**

**MEAN
 OF
 SECTION**

**MEAN
 OF
 COURSE**

**MEAN
 OF
 DEPT**

**MEAN
 OF
 LEVEL**

PART A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:

	1	2	3	4	5					
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	4.42	4.31
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	4.47	4.43
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	4.32	4.28
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	4.52	4.43
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	4.63	4.47
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning	0	0	0	1	5	0	4.83	4.83	4.24	4.14
7. I learned a great deal in this course	0	0	0	1	5	0	4.83	4.83	4.28	4.11
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course	0	0	1	2	3	0	4.33	4.33	3.81	3.58
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as	0	0	0	0	6	0	5.00	5.00	4.28	4.16
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as	0	0	0	1	5	0	4.83	4.83	4.24	4.08

PART B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR

11.	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.00	0.00	4.00	0.00
12.	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
13.	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
14.	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
15.	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
16.	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
17.	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
18.	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
19.	0	0	0	0	0	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

MONTH-YEAR
04

RUTGERS - THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING FORM

COLLEGE, COURSE AND SECTION NOS.
50 750 234 01

The University asks for your help in completing this rating form. The results will be used by both the Instructor and the University to assess and improve instruction. Your response will not be shared with the Instructor until after final grades have been submitted.

Thank you for your cooperation.

DIRECTIONS:

Print the Instructor's name and the Course name on the lines provided.
Questions 11 through 19 may be provided by the Instructor.

- Use a No. 2 pencil or blue or black ink pen only.
- Do not use red ink or felt tip pens.
- Make solid marks that fill the oval completely.

CORRECT MARK



INCORRECT MARKS



* PLEASE NOTE THE RESPONSE RANGE, FROM LEFT TO RIGHT, IS: DISAGREE TO AGREE, POOR TO EXCELLENT, OR LOW TO HIGH.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: K.S. Viriyadara

COURSE TITLE: ELECTRIC CIRCUITS II

Part A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented material in an organized manner.	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly. (leave blank if no grades assigned to date)	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	1	2	3	4	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	1	2	3	4	<input type="radio"/>

POOR

EXCELLENT

Part B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
11.	1	2	3	4	5
12.	1	2	3	4	5
13.	1	2	3	4	5
14.	1	2	3	4	5
15.	1	2	3	4	5
16.	LOW		MODERATE		HIGH
17.	1	2	3	4	5
18.	1	2	3	4	5
19.	1	2	3	4	5

POOR

EXCELLENT

Part C: COMMENTS

It's very useful to receive your comments and suggestions concerning the course and instructor.

20. What do you like best about this course?

I like our teacher the best.
He really cares about each
student's ability to understand
the material.

21. If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

Nothing. He is an amazing
teacher who will go out of
his way to help his students.

22. In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

Professor Virbhadra really encourages his students.
He pushes you to be the best you can be,
and it's really good. I am not a good test taker
and I feel since he has pushed me to do
my best, I am doing a lot better on tests. He
really cares about his students.

23. Other comments or suggestions.

I think all professors should
take a lesson from professor Virbhadra.
He is great!

MONTH-YEAR
0409

RUTGERS - THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING FORM

COLLEGE, COURSE AND SECTION NOS.
50750 234 01

The University asks for your help in completing this rating form. The results will be used by both the Instructor and the University to assess and improve instruction. Your response will not be shared with the Instructor until after final grades have been submitted.

Thank you for your cooperation.

DIRECTIONS:

Print the Instructor's name and the Course name on the lines provided. Questions 11 through 19 may be provided by the Instructor.

- Use a No. 2 pencil or blue or black ink pen only.
- Do not use red ink or felt tip pens.
- Make solid marks that fill the oval completely.

CORRECT MARK

INCORRECT MARKS

PLEASE NOTE THE RESPONSE RANGE, FROM LEFT TO RIGHT, IS: DISAGREE TO AGREE, POOR TO EXCELLENT, OR LOW TO HIGH.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: K.S. VIRBHADRA

COURSE TITLE: ELECTRIC CIRCUITS II

Part A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented material in an organized manner.	(1)	2	3	4	5
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	(1)	(2)	3	4	5
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	(1)	(2)	3	4	5
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	(1)	2	(3)	4	5
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly. (leave blank if no grades assigned to date)	(1)	(2)	3	4	5
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	(1)	(2)	(3)	4	5
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	1	(2)	3	4	5
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	(1)	2	3	4	5
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	1	2	3	4	5
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	(1)	(2)	(3)	4	5

Part B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
11.	(1)	2	3	4	5
12.	1	2	3	4	5
13.	1	2	3	4	5
14.	(1)	(2)	3	4	5
15.	1	2	3	4	5
16.	(1)	2	3	4	5
17.	1	2	3	4	5
18.	(1)	2	3	4	5
19.	1	2	3	4	5

Part C: COMMENTS

It's very useful to receive your comments and suggestions concerning the course and instructor.

20. What do you like best about this course?

THE CLASS HAS VERY DIFFICULT MATERIALS BUT
THE WAY IT WAS TAUGHT. I LEARNED!

21. If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

NOTHING NEEDS TO BE CHANGED!

22. In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

HE MADE ME HAVE A DEEP INTEREST
FOR COURSE, WANTING ME TO RESEARCH
MORE ON TOPIC LATER ON.

23. Other comments or suggestions.

PROFESSOR WAS BEST INSTRUCTOR
HAD, WHICH I AM HAPPY FOR TAKING
THIS COURSE THIS SEMESTER.
NO REGRETS!

MONTH-YEAR
0409

RUTGERS - THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING FORM

COLLEGE, COURSE AND SECTION NOS.
50 750 234 01

The University asks for your help in completing this rating form. The results will be used by both the Instructor and the University to assess and improve instruction. Your response will not be shared with the Instructor until after final grades have been submitted.

Thank you for your cooperation.

DIRECTIONS:

Print the Instructor's name and the Course name on the lines provided.
Questions 11 through 19 may be provided by the Instructor.

- Use a No. 2 pencil or blue or black ink pen only.
- Do not use red ink or felt tip pens.
- Make solid marks that fill the oval completely.

CORRECT MARK



INCORRECT MARKS



PLEASE NOTE THE RESPONSE RANGE, FROM LEFT TO RIGHT, IS:
DISAGREE TO AGREE, POOR TO EXCELLENT, OR LOW TO HIGH.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: *KS. Virbhadra*

COURSE TITLE: *Electric Circuits II*

Part A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented material in an organized manner.	(1)	2	3	4	(5)
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	(1)	2	3	4	(5)
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	(1)	2	3	4	(5)
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	(1)	2	3	4	(5)
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly. (leave blank if no grades assigned to date)	(1)	2	3	4	(5)
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	(1)	2	3	4	(5)
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	(1)	2	3	4	(5)
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	(1)	2	3	4	(5)
	POOR				EXCELLENT
9. <u>I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:</u>	(1)	2	3	4	(5)
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	(1)	2	3	4	(5)

Part B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
11. _____	(1)	2	3	4	5
12. _____	(1)	2	3	4	5
13. _____	1	2	3	4	5
14. _____	(1)	2	3	4	5
15. _____	1	2	3	4	5
	LOW		MODERATE		HIGH
16. _____	(1)	2	3	4	5
17. _____	1	2	3	4	5
18. _____	1	2	3	4	5
	POOR				EXCELLENT
19. _____	(1)	2	3	4	5

Part C: COMMENTS

It's very useful to receive your comments and suggestions concerning the course and instructor.

20. What do you like best about this course?

The best part of this course was Prof. Virbhadra's willingness to help both during and in addition to class time.

21. If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

22. In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

With many of the concepts we studied, Prof. Virbhadra explained them in such detail that we could completely understand not only the concept itself but its causes & implications. This wound up being very helpful in other classes such as elementary Differential Equations.

23. Other comments or suggestions.

MONTH-YEAR
04

RUTGERS - THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING FORM

COLLEGE, COURSE AND SECTION NOS.
50750 234 01

The University asks for your help in completing this rating form. The results will be used by both the Instructor and the University to assess and improve instruction. Your response will not be shared with the Instructor until after final grades have been submitted.

Thank you for your cooperation.

DIRECTIONS:

Print the Instructor's name and the Course name on the lines provided. Questions 11 through 19 may be provided by the Instructor.

- Use a No. 2 pencil or blue or black ink pen only.
- Do not use red ink or felt tip pens.
- Make solid marks that fill the oval completely.

CORRECT MARK

INCORRECT MARKS

* PLEASE NOTE THE RESPONSE RANGE, FROM LEFT TO RIGHT, IS: DISAGREE TO AGREE, POOR TO EXCELLENT, OR LOW TO HIGH.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: *K.S. Virbhadra*

COURSE TITLE: *Electric Circuits II*

Part A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented material in an organized manner.	1	2	3	4	5
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	1	2	3	4	5
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	1	2	3	4	5
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	1	2	3	4	5
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly. (leave blank if no grades assigned to date)	1	2	3	4	5
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	1	2	3	4	5
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	1	2	3	4	5
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	1	2	3	4	5
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	1	2	3	4	5
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	1	2	3	4	5

Part B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
11.	1	2	3	4	5
12.	1	2	3	4	5
13.	1	2	3	4	5
14.	1	2	3	4	5
15.	1	2	3	4	5
16.	1	2	3	4	5
17.	1	2	3	4	5
18.	1	2	3	4	5
19.	1	2	3	4	5

Part C: COMMENTS

It's very useful to receive your comments and suggestions concerning the course and instructor.

20. What do you like best about this course?

Prof went nice and slow and reviewed material we had forgotten

21. If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

Nothing. He did an excellent job

22. In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

Same

23. Other comments or suggestions.

I also liked the professors method of frequent quizzes and testing which helped to maintain proficiency.

MONTH-YEAR
04 09

RUTGERS - THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING FORM

COLLEGE, COURSE AND SECTION NOS.
50750 234 01

The University asks for your help in completing this rating form. The results will be used by both the Instructor and the University to assess and improve instruction. Your response will not be shared with the Instructor until after final grades have been submitted.

Thank you for your cooperation.

DIRECTIONS:

Print the Instructor's name and the Course name on the lines provided. Questions 11 through 19 may be provided by the Instructor.

- Use a No. 2 pencil or blue or black ink pen only.
- Do not use red ink or felt tip pens.
- Make solid marks that fill the oval completely.

CORRECT MARK



INCORRECT MARKS



PLEASE NOTE THE RESPONSE RANGE, FROM LEFT TO RIGHT, IS: DISAGREE TO AGREE, POOR TO EXCELLENT, OR LOW TO HIGH.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: K.S. Virbhadra

COURSE TITLE: Electric Circuits II

Part A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented material in an organized manner.	(1)		(3)		(5)
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	(1)		(3)		(5)
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	(1)		(3)		(5)
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	(1)		(3)		(5)
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly. (leave blank if no grades assigned to date)	(1)		(3)		(5)
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	(1)		(3)		(5)
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	(1)		(3)		(5)
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	(1)		(3)		(5)
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	(1)		(3)		(5)
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	(1)		(3)		(5)

Part B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
11. _____	(1)		(3)		(5)
12. _____	(1)		(3)		(5)
13. _____	(1)		(3)		(5)
14. _____	(1)		(3)		(5)
15. _____	(1)		(3)		(5)
16. _____	(1)		(3)		(5)
17. _____	(1)		(3)		(5)
18. _____	(1)		(3)		(5)
19. _____	(1)		(3)		(5)

Part C: COMMENTS

It's very useful to receive your comments and suggestions concerning the course and instructor.

20. What do you like best about this course?

The material covered is very applicable,

21. If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

I wouldn't have changed anything,

22. In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

He made this course a little difficult,

23. Other comments or suggestions.

N/A

MONTH-YEAR
09 09

RUTGERS - THE STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW JERSEY
STUDENT INSTRUCTIONAL RATING FORM

COLLEGE, COURSE AND SECTION NOS.
56 | 750 | 234 | 011

The University asks for your help in completing this rating form. The results will be used by both the Instructor and the University to assess and improve instruction. Your response will not be shared with the Instructor until after final grades have been submitted.

Thank you for your cooperation.

DIRECTIONS:


Print the Instructor's name and the Course name on the lines provided. Questions 11 through 19 may be provided by the Instructor.

- Use a No. 2 pencil or blue or black ink pen only.
- Do not use red ink or felt tip pens.
- Make solid marks that fill the oval completely.

CORRECT MARK



INCORRECT MARKS



PLEASE NOTE THE RESPONSE RANGE, FROM LEFT TO RIGHT, IS:
DISAGREE TO AGREE, POOR TO EXCELLENT, OR LOW TO HIGH.

INSTRUCTOR'S NAME: K. S. Virbhadra

COURSE TITLE: Electric Circuits I

Part A: UNIVERSITY-WIDE QUESTIONS:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented material in an organized manner.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly. (leave blank if no grades assigned to date)	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)

Part B: QUESTIONS ADDED BY DEPARTMENT OR INSTRUCTOR:

	STRONGLY DISAGREE		NEUTRAL		STRONGLY AGREE
11.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
12.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
13.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
14.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
15.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
16.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
17.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
18.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)
19.	(1)	2	(3)	4	(5)

Part C: COMMENTS

It's very useful to receive your comments and suggestions concerning the course and instructor.

20. What do you like best about this course?

The teachers enthusiasm and willingness to answer questions & help students

21. If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?

N/A

22. In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?

Generated & encouraged learning in all aspects of related subject areas

23. Other comments or suggestions.