

## MATH 551 HOMEWORK 5

### SOLUTIONS

(1) **Hungerford II.2.11 a and b**

(a) Let  $\{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$  be the invariant factors of  $G$ , so  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}^s \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/m_i\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\{n_1, \dots, n_k\}$  be the invariant factors of  $H$ , so  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}^l \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}/n_i\mathbb{Z}$ . Now  $G \oplus G \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2s} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/m_i\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/m_i\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $H \oplus H \cong \mathbb{Z}^{2l} \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Z}/n_i\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/n_i\mathbb{Z}$ , so the rank of the free part of  $G \oplus G$  is  $2s$ , which must equal  $2l$ , so  $s = l$ . Also, the invariant factors of  $G \oplus G \cong H \oplus H$  are  $\{m_1, m_1, m_2, m_2, \dots, m_r, m_r\}$ , or  $\{n_1, n_1, n_2, n_2, \dots, n_k, n_k\}$ . Since both lists are ordered in successively dividing order, they must be the invariant factors of  $G \oplus G$ , so  $n = k$ , and  $n_i = m_i$  for each  $i$ . Thus  $G$  and  $H$  have the same rank and invariant factors, so are isomorphic.

(b) Let  $A = \{p_1^{a_1}, \dots, p_r^{a_r}\}$  be the elementary divisors of  $H$ , while  $B = \{q_1^{b_1}, \dots, q_s^{b_s}\}$  are the elementary divisors of  $K$ , and  $C = \{l_1^{c_1}, \dots, l_t^{c_t}\}$  are the elementary divisors of  $G$ . Then the elementary divisors of  $G \oplus H$  is the multiset  $A \cup C$ , while the elementary divisors of  $G \oplus K$  is the multiset  $B \cup C$ . If  $A \neq B$ , we will have  $A \cup C \neq B \cup C$ , but  $A \cup C = B \cup C$  as a multiset, so we must have  $A = B$ , so  $H$  and  $K$  have the same elementary divisors. If  $G$  has rank  $d$  while  $H$  has rank  $e$  and  $K$  has rank  $f$ , then  $G \oplus H$  has rank  $d + e$ , while  $G \oplus K$  has rank  $d + f$ , so  $d + e = d + f$  implies that  $e = f$ , so  $H$  and  $K$  have the same elementary divisors, and rank, and so are isomorphic.

(2) **Hungerford II.2.12**

(a) The elementary divisors of  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/9\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/35\mathbb{Z}$  are  $\{2, 3^2, 5, 7\}$ , while the invariant factor is 630. For  $\mathbb{Z}/26\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/42\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/49\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/200\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/1000\mathbb{Z}$  the elementary divisors are  $\{2, 2, 2^3, 2^3, 3, 5^2, 5^3, 7, 7^2\}$ , while the invariant factors are  $\{(2^3)(3)(5^3)(7^2), (2^3)(5^2)(7), 2, 2\}$ .

(b) There are 11 abelian groups of order 64, corresponding to the 11 partitions of 6:  $6 = 5 + 1 = 4 + 2 = 4 + 1 + 1 = 3 + 3 = 3 + 2 + 1 = 3 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 2 + 2 + 2 = 2 + 2 + 1 + 1 =$

$2+1+1+1+1 = 1+1+1+1+1+1$ . For example  $3+1+1+1$  corresponds to the group  $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . There are 7 abelian groups of order 96. They correspond to the partitions of 5:  $5 = 4 + 1 = 3 + 2 = 3 + 1 + 1 = 2 + 2 + 1 = 2 + 1 + 1 + 1 = 1 + 1 + 1 + 1 + 1$ . For example,  $3 + 1 + 1$  corresponds to the group  $\mathbb{Z}/24\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

- (c) There is just the trivial group of order one. For each prime  $p$  there is only one group of order  $p$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . This deals with  $n = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19$ . For  $n = p^2$ ,  $p$  prime, the two abelian groups are  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . This deals with  $n = 4, 9, 16$ . For  $n = pq$  for  $p, q$  both prime, the only abelian group is  $\mathbb{Z}/pq\mathbb{Z}$ , which deals with  $n = 6, 10, 14, 15$ . For  $n = 8$  the three abelian groups are  $\mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ , and  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ . For  $n = p^2q$  with  $p, q$  prime, the two abelian groups are  $\mathbb{Z}/p^2q\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/pq\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . This deals with  $n = 12, 18, 20$ , which are the last cases.
- (3) **A partition of  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is a sequence  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \lambda_3 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k$  where  $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda_i > 0$ , and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i = n$ . Show that the conjugacy classes of  $S_n$  correspond to partitions of  $n$ .**

Given a decomposition of  $\pi \in S_n$  into disjoint cycles  $\pi = C_1 \dots C_r$ , including cycles of length one, let  $\lambda_i$  be the length of  $C_i$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i = n$ , and we may assume that the  $\lambda_i$  are nonincreasing, so we have a partition of  $n$ , called the *cycle type* of  $\pi$ .

Let  $\pi, \tau \in S_n$ . Then  $\tau\pi\tau^{-1}(\tau(i)) = \tau(\pi(i))$ , so if  $\pi = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_k)$  is a cycle, then  $\tau\pi\tau^{-1} = (\tau(a_1)\tau(a_2)\dots\tau(a_k))$ . If we write a general  $\pi \in S_n$  as a product of disjoint cycles  $\pi = C_1 C_2 \dots C_r$ , then  $\tau\pi\tau^{-1} = (\tau C_1 \tau^{-1})(\tau C_2 \tau^{-1}) \dots (\tau C_r \tau^{-1})$ . Since  $\tau$  is a bijection, this is a decomposition of  $\tau\pi\tau^{-1}$  into disjoint cycles, so  $\tau\pi\tau^{-1}$  has the same cycle type as  $\pi$ .

Conversely, if  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  have the same cycle type, write  $\pi = C_1 \dots C_r$  and  $\pi' = C'_1 \dots C'_r$ , where  $C_i$  and  $C'_i$  have the same length. For each  $i$ , choose  $j \in C_i$ , and  $k \in C'_i$ , and define  $\tau \in S_n$  by  $\tau(j) = k$ , and  $\tau(\pi^s(j)) = \pi'^s(k)$ , for  $s$  less than the length of  $C_i$ . This defines a bijection from  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  to  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  since the domain is everything occurring in  $\pi$ , and the range is everything occurring in  $\pi'$ . Thus  $\tau \in S_n$ . By construction we have  $\tau\pi\tau^{-1} = \pi'$ , so  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  are conjugate.

- (4) **Hungerford II.4.3** Consider  $G$  acting on itself by conjugation. Then the size of the orbit of  $a$  is the index in  $G$  of the stabilizer of  $a = C_G(a) = \{g \in G : gag^{-1} = a\}$ , so  $C_G(a)$  is an

index 2 subgroup of  $G$ , which is thus normal (and proper). If  $C_G(a) = e$ , then since it is index 2 we would have  $|G| = 2$ , and so  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  is abelian, and thus every conjugacy class has size one, contradicting our hypothesis on  $a$ . So  $C_G(a)$  is the desired proper nontrivial normal subgroup.

- (5) **Hungerford II.4.8** Since  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$  is abelian,  $gxg^{-1} = x$  for all  $g, x \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ , so the only inner automorphism is the trivial one. Thus the other automorphism  $\phi$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ , for which  $\phi(1) = 5$  (so  $\phi(x) = -x$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ ) is not an inner automorphism.
- (6) **How many different necklaces can be made with seven beads, if the beads are of three different colours?**

Consider the dihedral group  $D_7$  acting on the regular 7-gon with each vertex coloured one of three possible colours. We want to know the number of orbits of this action. By “the lemma that is not Burnside’s” this is  $1/14 \sum_{g \in D_7} |X_g|$ . For the identity  $|X_g| = 3^7$ . For any rotation  $|X_g| = 3$ , while for any reflection  $|X_g| = 3^4$ , so we have the number of orbits is  $1/14(3^7 + 6(3) + 7(3^4)) = 198$ . Thus there are 198 different necklaces.